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1. Company Profile

Promethech Software Inc.

**Establishment**  Oct. 29, 2004

**Capital fund**  $2.24M ($1=¥90)

**Management team**
Shinichi Okamoto (ex-CTO & VP at Sony Computer Entertainment Inc.), Toshimitsu Fujisawa, Ph.D (Founder), Seiichi Koshizuka, Ph.D (Founder), Yoshiaki Hanada, Akihiro Sawai, Tatsuo Yuguchi

**Business overview**
Software development and sales about particle-based Computer Aided Engineering

**Major shareholders**
KOZO KEIKAKU Engineering Inc.(4748 JQS) Mitsubishi UFJ Capital Co., Ltd. Founders, collaborative researchers and employees, etc.

**Location**
The University of Tokyo Entrepreneur Plaza 3F, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, JAPAN
1. Company Profile – Particle simulation

MPS method (Moving Particle Simulation) was invented by Prof. Seiichi Koshizuka to simulate severe accidents at a nuclear power plant in 1990’s. And now it is widely used in Japanese various industries.

Prof. Seiichi Koshizuka
Inventor of MPS method and Founder of Prometech Software
• School of Engineering, the University of Tokyo

Oil behavior in HV transaxle
Courtesy of TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION.

Twin screw extruder analysis
Courtesy of The Japan Steel Works, LTD.

Mudslide and mudslide-control dam simulation, River flood simulation, etc.
Since Jen-Hsun Huang’s visit to Prometech Software in 2008, we have been developing GPU ready software and maximizing our business opportunities with NVIDIA’s innovative GPU technology.

**2010**
- Particleworks v2.0
  - Matrix solver was ported on GPU

**2011**
- Particleworks v2.5
  - Fully ported on GPU
- Particleworks v3.0
  - New GUI
  - Powder simulation (DEM) solver on CPU was released

**2012**
- Particleworks v4.0
  - Fluid (MPS) and Powder (DEM) solvers were ported on GPU

**2013**
- Particleworks v4.5
  - Ready for Kepler GPU
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2. Particle-based CAE software “Particleworks”

[Main features]

- Free-surface / non-steady flow (MPS) simulation
- Fluid - Powder (MPS-DEM) coupling simulation
- Fluid - Rigid body coupling simulation
- Non-Newtonian flow simulation
- Turbulence model
- Negative pressure model
- Surface tension model
- Air resistance model
- Pressure calculation options (Explicit / Implicit)
- Viscosity calculation options (Explicit / Implicit)
- Parallel calculation options (SMP / MPP / Hybrid)
- GPU calculation, etc…
There are some types of particle simulation. In Particleworks, MPS is used for fluid and DEM is used for powder.

**Fluid**

### MPS*

- **Moving Particle Simulation**
- **Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics**

**Governing eq. of incompressible flow**

\[
\frac{D \mathbf{u}}{D t} = -\nabla \frac{P}{\rho} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{g}
\]

**Eq. of continuity**

\[
\frac{D \rho}{D t} = 0
\]

**Update positions of each particles**

**(*) Moving-Particle Semi-Implicit Method for Fragmentation of Incompressible Fluid, NUCLEAR SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING 123 421-434 (1996), S.Koshizuka and Y.Oka**

**Powder**

### DEM

- **Discrete Element Method**

**Voigt model**

Voigt model shows elastic forces by springs and viscous damping by dash-pots

**Update positions of each particles**
For example, a simple fractional time stepping is used as one of time marching methods in Particleworks.

1. Add external forces to particles, then update positions of each particles by temporal velocities.

2. Solve pressure equations to meet incompressible conditions.

3. Modify positions and velocities of each particles under the results of the previous step.

Must be incompressible
2. Particle-based CAE software “Particleworks” – Performance bottleneck

In the calculation procedure of MPS, FLOPS and random memory access affect calculation performance.

1. Add external forces, then update physical quantities.
2. Solve pressure equations to meet incompressible conditions.
3. Modify positions and velocities in accordance with above results.

All physical quantities of a red particle are decided by interactions between the red particle and blue particles within the effective radius.

**Performance bottleneck**
- FLOPS
- Random memory access

**Optimization ideas**
- Instruction throughput enhancement
- Hide access latency
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3. Kepler optimization and performance – Past achievement

Last GTC, Prometech reported that particle simulation which is fully ported on GPU (C2075) showed ~7x performance gain compared to Core i7 4 core CPU.

**GPU Technology Conference 2012**

Particleworks ver.3.0 (2011) – Fully ported on GPU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Overall performance gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPU: Intel Core i7 920 4 Cores</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU: NVIDIA Tesla C2070</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU: Intel Core i7 920 4 Cores</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU: NVIDIA Tesla C2070</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU: Intel Core i7 920 4 Cores</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPU: NVIDIA Tesla C2070</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) # of particles: ~0.3 M

Japan Steel Works, Ltd.

~0.3 M
3. Kepler optimization and performance – From C2075 to K20c

Prometech measured Particleworks performance with 5 different cases, and Particleworks on K20c is 1.47x (average) faster than Particleworks on C2075.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>C2075</th>
<th>Tesla K20c</th>
<th>Overall performance gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case1</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case2</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case3</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em><em>Average</em> 1.47x</em>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Geometric mean

Courtesy of Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation.

Courtesy of Tipton Corp.

Courtesy of a home appliance company.

High-viscosity mixing

Barrel finishing machine analysis

Balancer in laundry machine analysis

Gearbox oil behavior analysis

Dam break analysis
### 3. Kepler optimization and performance – Performance summary and question

Performance gains are roughly uniform on average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Number</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
<th>Case 4</th>
<th>Case 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of Particles</td>
<td>807,885</td>
<td>344,633</td>
<td>366,210</td>
<td>295,113</td>
<td>861,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
<td>Implicit</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Tension</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEM</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Gain</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Kepler optimization and performance – Tesla specifications

Double precision performance of K20c is 2.05x higher (measured by nbody.exe), and memory bandwidth performance is 1.36x faster (measured by bandwidthTest.exe) than Tesla C2075.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculation performance</th>
<th>Tesla C2075 (Fermi)</th>
<th>Tesla K20c (Kepler)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPU Clock Rate</td>
<td>1.15 GHz</td>
<td>0.71 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of CUDA Cores</td>
<td>448 = (14) MPs x (32) Cores/MP</td>
<td>2,496 = (13) MPs x (192) Cores/MP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-precision (*1)</td>
<td>~238 GFLOPS/s</td>
<td>2.05x ~489 GFLOPS/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Clock Rate</td>
<td>1.57 GHz</td>
<td>2.60 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Bas Width</td>
<td>384-bit</td>
<td>320-bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Bandwidth (*2)</td>
<td>~119 GB/s</td>
<td>1.36x ~162 GB/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*1) measured by nbody.exe
(*2) measured by bandwidthTest.exe
SpMV is a frequently occurred operation in CAE program. It is the same in particle simulation that SpMV is used for calculating interactions between particles.

Sparse Matrix-Vector Multiplication (CSR format) on CPU (C code)

```c
for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
    double sum = 0.0;
    for (int p = row_ptr[i], end = row_ptr[i+1]; p < end; ++p) {
        sum += val[p] * vec_in[col_ind[p]]
    }
    vec_out[i] = sum;
}
```

*SpMV is well used in implicit (pressure / viscosity) calculation. In particle simulation, this formula describes the interactions between particles.*
GPU is a hierarchical hardware, and we always care about “warp” when we develop Particleworks.

- **warp** is a minimum executable unit
  - Warp is a high functional SPMD compared to SIMD
  - It is necessary to write processing by warp to achieve good effective performance.
For example, SpMV on Fermi can be written as follows.

**Sparse Matrix-Vector Multiplication on Fermi (C2075)**

```c
__global__ void spmv_kernel(
  int const n,
  int const * const row_ptr,
  int const * const col_ind,
  double const * const val,
  double * const vec_out)
{
  extern __shared__ double smem[];

  int const tid = threadIdx.x;
  int const lid = tid & 31;
  int const stride = (blockDim.x * gridDim.x) >> 5;
  for (int i = (tid + blockIdx.x * blockDim.x) >> 5; i < n; i += stride) {
    double sum = 0.0;
    for (int p = row_ptr[i] + lid, end = row_ptr[i+1]; p < end; p += 32) {
      int2 const v = tex1Dfetch(tex_vec_in, col_ind[p]);
      sum += val[p] * __hilo1nt2double(v.y, v.x);
    }
    smem[tid] = sum; sum += smem[tid+16];
    smem[tid] = sum; sum += smem[tid+8];
    smem[tid] = sum; sum += smem[tid+4];
    smem[tid] = sum; sum += smem[tid+2];
    smem[tid] = sum; sum += smem[tid+1];
    if (lid == 0) { vec_out[i] = sum; }
  }
}
```

Execute 1 line of a matrix in 1 warp

Use texture memory for random memory access

Parallel reduction
When the SpMV program which is for Fermi is executed on Kepler without any change, the performance is 1.23x and this result is inferior to the hardware memory bandwidth improvement.

**SpMV (executed on Tesla C2075 and Tesla K20c)**
- Number of elements in vector: \( n = 100K \), (100K dimension vector)
- Number of non-zero elements in matrix: 10M
- Double-precision floating-point numbers

**Result (measured by NVIDIA Visual Profiler)**
- Performance gain is just 1.23x and this is inferior to the memory bandwidth improvement.
- We need optimization for Kepler

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPU code</th>
<th>Fermi Version on Tesla C2075</th>
<th>Fermi Version on Tesla K20c</th>
<th>Kepler Version on Tesla K20c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPU time (us)</td>
<td>1,544</td>
<td>1.23x</td>
<td>1,252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Memory bandwidth improvement, but inferior to hardware spec.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GPU</th>
<th>Tesla C2075 (Fermi)</th>
<th>Tesla K20c (Kepler)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Memory Bandwidth</td>
<td>~119 GB/s</td>
<td>1.36x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Ref.) see P15.
Kepler implements a new Shuffle instruction, which allows threads within a warp to share data. A few percent performance gain can be seen just by using Shuffle.

**Shuffle instruction within a warp**

- Example) __shfl_xor(value, 4)

![Diagram](image)

**New function from Compute Capability 3.x (Kepler)**

- This allows threads within a warp to share data
- In the case of FFT, which requires to data sharing within a warp, a 6% performance gain can be seen just by using Shuffle.
- For more information, see NVIDIA’s whitepaper, “NVIDIA’s Next Generation CUDA™ Compute Architecture: Kepler™ GK110”
In addition to the L1 cache, Kepler introduces a 48KB cache for data that is known to be read-only for the duration of the function. Use of the read-only path is beneficial.

**Use of the read-only path is beneficial**
- Because it takes both load and working set footprint off of the Shared/L1 cache path.
- In addition, the Read-Only Data Cache’s higher tag bandwidth supports full speed unaligned memory access patterns among other scenarios.

**Use of this path is managed automatically by the compiler**
- Any variable or data structure which “const __restrict” keyword will be tagged will be loaded through the Read-Only Data Cache.

- Choose variables or data structure carefully not to disturb cache lines to use “const __restrict”.

![Cache Diagram](image-url)
As a result, SpMV on Kepler is as follows.

### Sparse Matrix-Vector Multiplication on Kepler (K20c)

```c
__global__ void spmv_kernel(
    int const n,
    int const * const row_ptr,
    int const * const col_ind,
    double const * const val,
    double const * __restrict const vec_in,
    double * const vec_out)
{
    int const tid = threadIdx.x;
    int const lid = tid & 31;
    int const stride = (blockDim.x * gridDim.x) >> 5;
    for (int i = (tid + blockIdx.x * blockDim.x) >> 5; i < n; i += stride) {
        double sum = 0.0;
        for (int p = row_ptr[i] + lid, end = row_ptr[i+1]; p < end; p += 32) { sum += val[p] * vec_in[col_ind[p]]; }sum += shfl_xor(sum, 16); sum += shfl_xor(sum,  8); sum += shfl_xor(sum,  4); sum += shfl_xor(sum,  2); sum += shfl_xor(sum,  1);
        if (lid == 0) { vec_out[i] = sum; }
    }
}
__device__ __inline__
double shfl_xor(double const value, int const laneID){
    return __hiloint2double(
        __shfl_xor(__double2hiint(value), laneID),
        __shfl_xor(__double2loint(value), laneID));
}
```

- Use const * __restrict instead of texture memory
- Use Warp Shuffle Operation
3. Kepler optimization and performance – Concept of optimization (8/8)

Performance gain is 1.48x compared to the original version.

**SpMV (executed on Tesla C2075 and Tesla K20c)**
- Number of elements in vector: \( n = 100K \), (100K dimension vector)
- Number of non-zero elements in matrix: 10M
- Double-precision floating-point numbers

**Result (measured by NVIDIA Visual Profiler)**
- Performance gain is 1.48x and this is superior to the memory bandwidth improvement.
- It is important for a programmer to develop a program which use memory bandwidth efficiently.
4. Summary

- Prometech Software Inc. is a particle-based CAE software start-up in Japan.

- Particleworks is particle-based commercial CAE software and widely used in Japan.

- In Particleworks, 1.47x performance gain can be seen on K20c. (compared to C2075)

- In the case of SpMV operation which is a frequently occurred calculation in particle simulation, Prometech showed some optimization ideas from Fermi to Kepler.
  ✓ CUDA code for Fermi can’t show enough performance gain on Kepler.
    (It falls below the memory bandwidth improvement of hardware.)
  ✓ Prometech uses warp shuffle instruction and read-only data cache to improve performance.
  ✓ As a result, it shows 1.48x performance gain in total.